

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNISED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Unificit States, Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	VO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,400		05/03/2001	Hideaki Emolo	2001-0465A	6474
513	7590	05/26/2004	EXAMINER		NER
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.				CHEN, WENPENG	
	2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			2624		
				DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/847,400	EMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wenpeng Chen	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The specification is objected to be the specification is objected to be the specification is objected	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities.
- -- The term "FET" in line 6, page 3 shall be changed to "FFT".

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The Examiner recommends spelling out "FFT" at its first occurrence as "Fast Fourier Transform".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

A dummy picture compression signal is merely data, which are non-functional descriptive material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2624

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sinisalo (UK Patent Application GB 2280827 A.)

For Claims 1-3, Sinisalo teaches a communication process comprising:

- -- a step for dummy-picturing data consisting of a predetermined number of original data; (Fig. 1; page 5 explaining Fig. 1)
- -- a step for dummy-picturing data consisting of a predetermined number of original data including important information about characteristic curves; (Fig. 1; page 5 explaining Fig. 1; Speech signal is important information.)
- -- a step for highly compressing dummy pictured data by a picture compression treatment; (JPEG of Fig. 2)
- -- a step for transmitting said dummy pictured data compressed in the previous step; (transmission of Fig. 2)
- -- a step for reproducing said dummy pictured data transmitted in the previous step; (inverse JPEG of Fig. 2)
- -- a step for dividing said dummy pictured data into said predetermined number of original data so as to reproduce said original data. (IFFT step of Fig. 2)

Sinisalo also teaches that the method is targeted for sound mail applications, which include sending sound information, such as conversation or noise, observed a plant located at a remote site.

The above-cited passages and reasons also teach signal of Claims 4-6.

Art Unit: 2624

For Claims 7-9, Sinisalo teaches a data compression process for transmitting data including important information about characteristic curves to a remote site, said data compression process comprising:

- -- a step for dummy picturing said data consisting of a predetermined number of original data at a transmitting site; (Fig. 1; page 5 explaining Fig. 1)
- -- a step for highly compressing said dummy pictured data by a picture compression treatment; (JPEG of Fig. 2)
- -- a step for transmitting said dummy pictured data compressed in the previous step to a receiving site; (transmission of Fig. 2)
- -- a step for recovering said data received at said receiving site so as to reproduce said dummy pictured data; (inverse JPEG of Fig. 2)
- -- a step for dividing said dummy picture into said predetermined number of said original data. (IFFT step of Fig. 2)

The above-cited passages and reasons also teach the method recited in Claims 10-15.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 703 306-2796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on 703 308-7452. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

Art Unit: 2624

Page 5

communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Wenpeng Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

May 21, 2004

Worm Clan